

Office of the Attorney General State of Texas

DAN MORALES

December 30, 1998

Ms. Tracy B. Calabrese Senior Assistant City Attorney City of Houston P.O. Box 1562 Houston, Texas 77251-1562

OR98-3283

Dear Ms. Calabrese:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 121059.

The City of Houston (the "city") received a request for a witness statement regarding an incident in which a city police vehicle collided with an apartment building. You seek to withhold the requested information under section 552.103(a) of the Government Code.

Section 552.103(a) excepts from required public disclosure information

- (1) relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature or settlement negotiations, to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party; and
- (2) that the attorney general or the attorney of the political subdivision has determined should be withheld from public inspection.

To secure the protection of section 552.103(a), a governmental body must demonstrate that the requested information relates to pending or reasonably anticipated litigation to which the governmental body is a party. Open Records Decision No. 588 at 1 (1991). The mere chance of litigation will not trigger section 552.103(a). Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986) and authorities cited therein. To demonstrate that litigation is reasonably anticipated, the governmental body must furnish *concrete* evidence that litigation involving a specific matter is realistically contemplated and is more than mere conjecture. *Id*.

You submit a Notice of Claim filed with the city under section 101.101 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code for injuries sustained by an individual in the incident to which the requested witness statement relates, as well as an affidavit of an assistant city attorney to the effect that litigation may reasonably be anticipated in regard to said claim. We conclude that you may withhold the requested witness statement at this time under section 552.103(a).

We assume, however, that none of the information in the records at issue has previously been made available to the opposing party in the anticipated litigation. Absent special circumstances, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation, either through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). To the extent the opposing party has seen or had access to these records, there would be no justification for now withholding such information from the requestor pursuant to section 552.103(a). Similarly, section 552.103(a) does not authorize the city to withhold materials which have already been made available to the public. Open Records Decision No. 436 at 7 (1986).

We are resolving this matter with an informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and should not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have questions about this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,

William Walker

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

WMW/ch

Ref: ID# 121059

Enclosures: Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Andrew Middleman

Reich & Binstock

4265 San Felipe, Suite 1000 Houston, Texas 77027

(w/o enclosures)